

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	*	Case No. 3:23-cr-071
Plaintiff,	*	Judge Walter H. Rice
vs.	*	
JOSEPH EADS	*	
Defendant.	*	
	*	

---

**DECISION & ENTRY STRIKING DEFENDANT'S *PRO SE* MOTION TO SUPPRESS (DOC. # 31) WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO RENEWAL SHOULD DEFENDANT BE ALLOWED TO WITHDRAW HIS PREVIOUSLY ENTERED PLEA OF GUILTY AND, FURTHER, SHOULD HIS COUNSEL OF RECORD CHOOSE TO ADOPT SAID MOTION TO SUPPRESS**

---

The Defendant's *pro se* Motion to Suppress filed May 22, 2024 (Doc. #31), is stricken, without prejudice to renewal should Defendant ultimately be allowed to withdraw his plea of guilty and, further, should Defendant's counsel of record choose to adopt said Motion to Suppress or to file a new such motion.

This court's reasoning is that the Defendant, represented by competent counsel, is not entitled to a hybrid representation, i.e., to file pleadings or, for that matter, any filings *pro se*.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Date: May 28, 2024

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WALTER H. RICE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE